



Planning St. John's
EngageStJohns.ca Report
 26 Alexander Street

Visitors Summary

City of St. John's from 01 Jun '24 to 24 Nov '24

DAILY MONTHLY



Highlights

TOTAL VISITS	MAX VISITORS PER DAY	NEW REGISTRATIONS
746	110	2
ENGAGED VISITORS	INFORMED VISITORS	AWARE VISITORS
14	122	596

Types of visitors:

- Total visits: unique sessions (may be the same person visiting multiple times)
- Aware: visited at least one page
- Informed: has taken the "next step" from being aware and clicked on something
- Engaged: has contributed to a tool (comment or question)

Comments (verbatim)	What is your overall feedback of this application?
Excellent use of this real estate by an organization that advocates for marginalized individuals	Support
This is a perfect project and way to increase density in the downtown region. We need more infill projects like this to stop increasing the costs of infrastructure. The fact that it is catered towards seniors is also a great point - however I would approve of any apartment dwelling that increases infill and puts more rental units in the city.	Support
I believe that the city needs more good quality rental units, especially for Seniors. While the parking issue might be a concern, St. John's needs places for people to live, more than places to store cars.	Support

This is a great location for this project!	Support
I think this is a great idea! We need more affordable housing in the city, especially for seniors.	Support
<p>First- sincere thanks for your staff's great efforts to efficiently allow citizens to provide feedback online (this engage.com site is fantastic), in person and ZOOM feedback on this and other projects. Have read your concise clear background documents and 26 Alexander Street seems like a perfect location for a senior's apartment building as long as the parking as outlined on Patrick Street is possible. Fully agree with our City's Affordable Housing Strategy 2019-202 and this project addresses the dire need for affordable single/duo housing for seniors in our city.</p> <p>Suggestion: - be much more assertive/creative in your marketing of the Engage.com. Use some humor, perhaps? Remind citizens to get involved "stop talking at Tim's" and share their opinions... get the message out!!!</p>	Support
all of these are great developments but what I do notice is that it is all about cars. As a senior I see there is no clinic nearby, no grocery store for example.	Support
I am generally in favour of this project. Densifying the City will help to reduce sprawl, and affordable rental spaces will help seniors.	Support

<p>The strategy of add parking on Patrick Street seems reasonable - I expect the parking needs would be lighter than they might for other apartments, as not all residents will have vehicles. Plus, Water street is on decent bus routes.</p> <p>That being said, if the project proceeds, consideration might be given to how safer access might be provided to the nearest bus stop on the south side of Water Street. As it currently stands, pedestrians must either jaywalk, or trek down to the crosswalk at Job Street (which would put them at the bus stop next to the Railway Coastal Museum - not the closest stop).</p>	
<p>I think this is a great use of this derelict space, provided there is adequate parking for all proposed units and due care is given to ensure all parts of the building are built with accessibility in mind for tenants.</p>	Support
<p>my issue is not so much the apartment building since the existing building looks so neglected as it stands now but the parking lot does not sound great. It would be so bad for the poor people living at numbers 29, [REDACTED] looking at a parking lot day and night, not to mention the noise and pollution they would have to put up with. Also, the fact that the access to the parking lot would be on patrick street would definitely increase the traffic and noise for all of us in that section of the street. I understand that a parking</p>	Mixed

<p>lot is needed but that location is not something that would improve the quality life in our area, that's for sure.</p>	
<p>A few years ago the same location was also going to be used for apartments. Our main concerns now are the same as before. 1)That there is actually enough parking for every car, 2)That the building stay the same height, and 3) that there will be no balconies built. [REDACTED] and it remains one of the quietest areas in St. John's... We would like to keep it that way.</p>	Mixed
<p>Agree with the proposed apartment building, but parking should not be at the Patrick St. lot. This is for seniors, so parking should be underground or directly adjacent to the apt. bldg.. as close as possible. Carrying groceries or other supplies from ones car to an apt. should be as easy as possible, without walking, or trekking through snow, rain, wind etc.</p>	Mixed
<p>Morning my concern is if it's a 60 unit build where will people park as it stands now if you have company over parking is an issue as in the summer time Alexander Street is used by Victoria Park which is fine but if you have a 60 unit build and 5 parking spaces where will these residents park??</p>	Mixed

I'm in favour of the Senior complex at 26 Alexander Street , but opposed to the parking lot at 25 Patrick Street.	Mixed
---	-------