## **Maureen Harvey**

From:

Monday, August 12, 2019 7:02 PM

To: Subject:

32 Royal Oak Dr

CityClerk

## To whom it may concern

I am writting this note regards an application to set up a business at 32 Royal Oak Drive. I am a 20 plus year resident of this street and am dismayed at this application. This is a solely residential street that is the home of families with small children residing on it and should not opened up for commercialization.

This address has just changed hands in the last 2 months and was NOT zoned as commercial when it was purchased, should these new owners/residents wished to open a business they should have purchased a home in an area that was already zoned for a business not plow through, make the purchase and try to make the change following that purchase. The majority of people purchase their home as a place of peace and quite not one that has a business next door. So with that in mind I believe that it should stay zoned as residential only.

The new owners have no idea what it means to live on a street that is built on a hill with the resulting difficulty with snow clearing. This street also becomes narrowed and presents a challenging situation during the winter months with many of the neighbours having to shovel/ snow blow not only their own small parking spots but have had to clear areas up to on times 5 feet due to the snow banks left behind following street clearing efforts by the city. I am sure a simple call to the city depot will confirm the difficulty with snow clearing efforts on this street.

The site is also on a curve resulting in some blind spots when there is either traffic flow and snow banks or residents with their cars parked on the street. I understand that cars are required to be off the street during the parking ban as initiated by the city, however this only applies to overnight parking and not during the daylight hours as indicated in the submission.

So in closing I truly wish to make sure that my vote on the rezoning of this site/lot is for it to be NOT BE APPROVED!!



## **Elaine Henley**

From:

**Sent:** Friday, August 23, 2019 9:49 AM

**To:** CityClerk

**Cc:** Ashley Murray; Dave Wadden; Ann-Marie Cashin; Gerard Doran; Karen Chafe; Andrea Roberts;

Lindsay Lyghtle Brushett; Jason Sinyard; Ken O'Brien; Planning

**Subject:** RE: 32 Royal Oak Dr

## Hello again

Just a note regards the application for 32 Royal Oak dr, that I sent a message relaying my concerns with the application to allow a business at that home. I am on FB this morning and note that the applicant has posted on JULY 3 indicating to her existing clients that she has re-located and is open for business.

How is this possible?? Should she not have applied and received a license for this business in a RESIDENTIAL neighbour prior to this business. I again want to register my vote to NOT ALLOW this application to be approved.

Please add this to my earlier email registering my vote.

**Thanks**