From:	<u>CityClerk</u>
То:	CityClerk
Cc:	Maureen Harvey; Shanna Fitzgerald; Andrea Roberts; Ann-Marie Cashin; Ashley Murray; Dave Wadden; Jason Sinyard; Karen Chafe; Ken O"Brien; Lindsay Lyghtle Brushett; Planning
Subject:	RE: (EXT) Application - 133 Old Bay Bulls Rd
Date:	Tuesday, September 22, 2020 2:23:46 PM

Good Afternoon

We thank you for your feedback and advise that all submissions will be presented to Council for consideration prior to a final decision being reached on this application.

Elaine Henley

Elaine Henley City Clerk t. 576-8202 c. 691-0451

From:

Sent: Monday, September 21, 2020 4:47 PM To: CityClerk <cityclerk@stjohns.ca> Subject: Re: (EXT) Application - 133 Old Bay Bulls Rd

Good Afternoon;

It has come to my attention that there is another rezoning application for the address above.

I oppose this re-zoning for the same reasons listed in my previous email.

This would cause a noise problem in the residential neighbourhood. I am concerned for the value of my property and my ability to attract a high-quality tenant across the street from a dog training facility, and there is a dog daycare/training facility within 1km of the area which would be in direct competition.

Thank You,



On Tue, Aug 4, 2020 at 6:17 AM CityClerk <<u>cityclerk@stjohns.ca</u>> wrote:

Good Morning

We thank you for your feedback and advise that all submissions will be presented to Council for consideration prior to a final decision being reached on this application.

All personal information, including name, will be redacted prior to your submission becoming a matter of public record as per the *Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act.*

Elaine Henley

Elaine Henley City Clerk t. 576-8202 c. 691-0451

From:

Sent: Tuesday, August 4, 2020 1:24 AM
To: CityClerk <<u>cityclerk@stjohns.ca</u>>
Subject: (EXT) Application - 133 Old Bay Bulls Rd

Please remove my name and address from the record prior to public release

Good Evening;

Please accept this note as my response to the Public Notice regarding a discretionary use application for 133 Old Bay Bulls Rd. I am the homeowner of

I oppose this application, as there is an existing Doggy Daycare located on Pearltown Rd. That business is located approximately 1.5km from my home, and the noise from that location is still noticeable throughout the day and the evening, as the business does have overnight accommodations for their dogs as required. Opening a similar business so close to a residential neighbourhood would cause an immediate noise problem for those in the area.

There is an apartment in my house, and the tenant is a shift worker. As a shift worker myself, I know how difficult it can be to sleep throughout the day when noise levels are high. Adding a doggy daycare to our neighbourhood would only amplify those concerns.

I have read through the notice, and saw that the dogs would be inside - "contained to the

dwelling" as it says. I don't believe that this is realistic. I have a dog myself, and to contain him to my home all day would be a disservice to him. The dogs will have to go outside at least to stretch their legs and to do their business. The likelihood of them being inside all day is next to none, in my opinion.

My wife is currently on maternity leave and is caring for two children, one is a 9-month old who requires 2-3 naps every day. This would not be an easy task if there were a doggy daycare across the street.

Finally, I am concerned about the value of my property in relation to its proximity to such a business. I believe the value of my property, as well as the ability to attract high-quality tenants would be negatively affected if another doggy daycare was to open in our neighbourhood.

I would hope that when the city council makes their decision on this application that it will be declined.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.



•

Disclaimer: This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information intended only for the individual(s) addressed in the message. If you are not the intended recipient, any other distribution, copying, or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by return email and delete the original message.

Any correspondence with employees, agents, or elected officials of the City of St. John's may be subject to disclosure under the provisions of the Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act, 2015, S.N.L. 2015, c.A-1.2.

From:	CityClerk
To:	CityClerk
Cc:	Maureen Harvey; Shanna Fitzgerald; Andrea Roberts; Ann-Marie Cashin; Ashley Murray; Dave Wadden; Jason
	<u>Sinyard; Karen Chafe; Ken O"Brien; Lindsay Lyghtle Brushett; Planning</u>
Subject:	RE: (EXT) 133 old bay bulls road
Date:	Monday, September 21, 2020 10:14:44 AM

Good Morning

We acknowledge receipt of your email and advise that all submissions will be presented to Council for consideration prior to a final decision being reached on this application.

Elaine Henley

Elaine Henley City Clerk t. 576-8202 c. 691-0451

-----Original Message-----From: Sent: Friday, September 18, 2020 11:00 PM To: CityClerk <cityclerk@stjohns.ca> Subject: (EXT) 133 old bay bulls road

I am writing to object and disagree to operate a Home Occupation of a dog training and was services at the dwelling of 133 old bay bulls road.

Sincerely,



Disclaimer: This email may contain confidential and/or privileged information intended only for the individual(s) addressed in the message. If you are not the intended recipient, any other distribution, copying, or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by return email and delete the original message.

Any correspondence with employees, agents, or elected officials of the City of St. John's may be subject to disclosure under the provisions of the Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act, 2015, S.N.L. 2015, c.A-1.2.